

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,899	08/26/2003	John F. Hagios	BUR920030063US1	1898
30449	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISEI SUITE 201	R, OLSEN + WATTS		NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
3 LEAR JET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, N	Y 12033		2832	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- CA
	10/604,899	HAGIOS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MO	NTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF	ON.		
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	n.		
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s 	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	IS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of this communication, even if tim	ely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-15.	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	l19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	eceived in this National Stage	9
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	aceived	
Coc the attached detailed Office action for a	a not of the document copies not re		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	B) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a space transformer, classified in class 336, subclass 200.

II. Claims 10-21, drawn to a wafer test apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass

752.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a wafer test

apparatus not using the transformer of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

figures 1-12B; and

- Embodiment 2:

figures 13A-21B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,899

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,899

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN HW

Tuylu T. Nguylu

Page 4